McDermott Will&Emery

Boston Brussels Chicago Düsseldorf London Los Angeles Miami Munich New York Orange County Rome San Diego Silicon Valley Washington, D.C.

Strategic alliance with MWE China Law Offices (Shanghai)

FACSIMILE

\mathbf{n}_{α}	+
134	44.

January 18, 2008

Time Sent:

To:	Company: USPTO	Facsimile No:	Telephone No:	
Diane Goodwyn		571.273.6735		
From:	Monique A. Morneault	Direct Phone:	312.984.7699	
E-Mail:	mmorneault@mwe.com	Direct Fax:	312.984.7700	
Sent By:	Sarah J. Goodnight	Direct Phone:	312.984.2089	
Client/Matter/Tkpr: 07	077153-0020-09496	Original to Follow by Mail:		No
		Number of Pages, Including Cover:		14

Re:

Petition for Reconsideration In re Patent No. 6,305,621 U.S. Application No. 09/516,076 Our File No. 077153-0020

Message:

PLEASE SEE ATTACHED

The information contained in this facsimile message is legally privileged and confidential information intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended reciplent, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copy of this facsimile is strictly prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please notify us immediately by telephone and return the original message to us at the below address by mail. Thank you.

IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL OF THE PAGES, PLEASE CALL

_ AT 312.984.7699 AS SOON AS

Main Facsimile: 312.984.7700

POSSIBLE.

Facsimile Assistance: Outgoing Faxes: 312,984.2147; Incoming Faxes: 312,984.2134

U.S. practice conducted through McDermott Will & Emery LLP.

227 West Monroe Street

Chicago, Illinois 60606-5096

Теlернопе: 312.372.2000

Application No.

077153-0020

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent No. 6,305,621 Issue Date: October 23, 2001

Application No.: 09/516,076

Filed: March 1, 2000

Examiner:

Art Unit: n/a

For: PIVOTING FLUID CONDUIT AND ONE-

WAY BRAKE

Mail Stop Petition Commissioner For Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

PETITION FOR RECONSIDERATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.378(e)

Dear Sir:

This is in response to Decision on the Petition under 37 C.F.R. 1.378(c) filed May 14, 2007 to accept the delayed payment of a maintenance fee for the above patent. The petition was dismissed because it was alleged the Petitioner was not authorized to charge the deposit account.

Petitioner respectfully submits that it was authorized to charge the deposit account as a member of the authorized firm associated with the above patent. A Supplemental Reissue Application Declaration and Power of Attorney of joint Inventors, giving authorization to the present firm, and naming the undersigned as the contact, was submitted on April 16, 2007 (copy attached). Therefore, Petitioner disagrees with the conclusion that it was not authorized to charge the deposit account, and respectfully submits this Petition for Reconsideration and the required fee of \$400 is not necessary.

However, in order to complete this project, please again charge the required maintenance fee of \$450 and the petition fee of \$400, as well as any additional fees or credit any overpayments associated with this Petition for Reconsideration to our Deposit Account No. 13-0206. Please note that the surcharge of \$1640 was previously submitted as a check with the original petition filed on May 14, 2007, and therefore this fee is not necessary (copy attached).

Attorney Docket No. 088153-0020 Petition for Reconsideration Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.378(e)

The decision was mailed November 19, 2007. As this Petition for Reconsideration is being filed within two months of the mailing date of the decision, Petitioner submits this Petition is timely filed.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: January 15, 2008

By:

Daniel N. Christus, Reg. No. 29,626

Customer No. 1923

McDermott Will & Emery LLP

227 W. Monroe

Chicago, Illinois 60606-6630

Telephone: 312.984-7699

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING (37 C.F.R. § 1.8a)

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being sent by facsimile to the Office of Petitions at 571-273-8300 on January 15,